

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE          | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/517,705  | 03/02/2000           | Chunlin Liang        | 042390.P5771D       | 4202             |
| 7:  | 590 05/01/2006       | EXAMINER             |                     |                  |
|   | loff Taylor & Zafman | LOKE, STEVEN HO YIN  |                     |                  |
| 12400 Wilshire Boulevard 7th Floor<br>Los Angeles, CA 90025 |                      |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|   |                      |                      | 2811                |                  |

DATE MAILED: 05/01/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | <u> </u> |
|-----------------|--------------|----------|
| 09/517,705      | LIANG ET AL. |          |
| Examiner        | Art Unit     |          |
| Steven Loke     | 2811         |          |

|  | •  |  | Alt Ollic   |  |
|--|--|--|---|--|
|  |  | Steven Loke  | 2811  |  |
|  | -The MAILING DATE of this communication appe   | ears on the cover sheet with the c   | orrespondence ado   | Iress  |
|  | <br>Y FILED <u>20 April 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF   |  | · ·   |  |
| 1. 🔯 The r<br>this a<br>place                              | reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or application, applicant must timely file one of the folloes the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Noquest for Continued Examination (RCE) in complian   | n the same day as filing a Notice of<br>wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff<br>otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c          | Appeal. To avoid aba<br>idavit, or other evider<br>compliance with 37 C | nce, which<br>FR 41.31; or (3)               |
|  | periods:<br>The period for reply expires $3$ months from the mailing date  | e of the final rejection.  |   |  |
|  | The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this and event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire   |  |   |  |
|  | Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or<br>TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7   |  | FIRST REPLY WAS F   | ILED WITHIN                                  |
| have been f<br>under 37 CF<br>set forth in (<br>may reduce | of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)  | dension and the corresponding amount<br>shortened statutory period for reply origi<br>r than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi                     | riate extension fee<br>īce action; or (2) as |
|  | OF APPEAL  | " "  | Fl. 1 2001 - 4 4  |  |
| filing<br>a No   | Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed.   | ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to   | avoid dismissal of th   |  |
| AMENDMI  |  |  | *** ** * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *                                |  |
|  | proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,   |  |   | ecause                                       |
|  | They raise new issues that would require further co  |  | i E below);   |  |
|  | They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo  | •  |   | Alba iaawaa fau                              |
| (c) L  | They are not deemed to place the application in be   | itter form for appeal by materially re   | aucing or simplifying   | the issues for                               |
| (d) [  | appeal; and/or  They present additional claims without canceling a   | corresponding number of finally rei  | acted claims  |  |
| (a) L  | _ ,,   |  | ecteu Claims.   |  |
|  | NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.   |  |   |  |
|  | amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1   |  | mpliant Amendment   | (PTOL-324).                                  |
|  | licant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s  |  |   |  |
|  | vly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a<br>allowable claim(s).   | llowable if submitted in a separate,   | timely filed amendme  | ent canceling the                            |
| how  | purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro   |  | ll be entered and an o  | explanation of                               |
|  | status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:<br>n(s) allowed: <u>1,16,18,20,22,23,26 and 27</u> .  |  |   |  |
|  | n(s) allowed: <u>1,70,70,20,22,25,25 and 27</u> .<br>n(s) objected to:   |  |   |  |
|  | m(s) rejected: <u>24, 25</u> .   |  |   |  |
|  | m(s) withdrawn from consideration:   |  |   |  |
|  | T OR OTHER EVIDENCE  |  |   |  |
| 8. 🔲 The beca  | affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, buse applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).  |  |   |  |
| ente<br>shov   | affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing<br>red because the affidavit or other evidence failed to<br>ving a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa  | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apper<br>ry and was not earlier presented. S  | al and/or appellant fa<br>ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(                           | ils to provide a<br>1).                      |
|  | e affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the consideration of the consider | on of the status of the claims after e   | ntry is below or attac  | hed.   |
|  | e request for reconsideration has been considered by   | ut does NOT place the application in   | n condition for allowa  | nce because:                                 |
|  | te the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).   | (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N  |   | . /  |
| , o. 🗀 om  |  |  | Steven ·  | loke   |
|  |  |  | Steven ·  | xaniner                                      |
|  |  |  | 11  | 1 1  |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

L-303 (Rev. 7-05) Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20060426

## **Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)**

**Application No. 09/517,705** 

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The underline portion of claim 24 would require further consideration and/or search.